

Springfield Township Bucks County
Board of Supervisors Meeting
July 11, 2006

The meeting was called to order at 7:40 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance by Chairman Jim Brownlow. Members present: Vice Chairman Chuck Halderman, Rob Zisko and Karen Bedics. Professional staff present: Township Manager Rich Schilling, Police Chief Scott Huber, Solicitor Terry Clemons, and Assistant Secretary Linda Bartholomew

Minutes June 13, 2006 Meeting

Chuck Halderman requested the following corrections: Page 7 sand mound, not sand mount.

Halderman motions to accept the minutes as corrected. Bedics seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to accept the minutes of the June 13, 2006 meeting.

Bills for Approval

Zisko motions to pay the bills as listed from June 10 to July 11, 2006. Halderman seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to pay the bills June 10 to July 11, 2006.

Road Dept report posted

Code Enforcement report posted

Brownlow mentions the zoning hearings. One case that is pending concerns overhead utilities. Bedics asks for the reason why they do not want underground utilities. Zisko attended that hearing. It would be difficult to run underground electric because of rocks and tree roots. Solicitor refers to the Iorio zoning hearing case appeal. Judge Heckler advised at hearing last week to initially determine open record and permit more evidence. Clemons attended meeting and all testimony did not finish. Another hearing is scheduled for July 25. Clemons initially went to let them know he was there in support of zoning hearing decision and requested the board's direction on attending July 25 hearing. He stated that he doesn't think the township has any exposure in terms of liability. The issue focused on downhill neighbors' storm water runoff and also natural resource disturbance issues. Scott Mease testified at hearing. On July 25, further testimonies and witnesses will be introduced regarding storm water runoff protection. Both sides are well represented by counsel. Expenditure of legal fees was an issue. Halderman says our solicitor should attend hearing due to problems with drainage: no infiltration to properly collect water. Brownlow states they still have to meet storm water management requirements. Relief of requirements is Clemons' understanding. Halderman says they're going to develop it and cut down a ton of trees in the back. Our zoning hearing board granted a variance and neighbors appealed. Neighbors requested to supplement record. Judge granted the request at the hearing last week. Brownlow agrees with Halderman: relief from storm water management, we should have representation. Chuck motions to authorize Clemons to attend hearing on July 25. Bedics seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously.

Police Report

June statistics are presented by Chief Huber and posted on website as June report. Chief Huber adds that commercial vehicle enforcement on Route 309 is necessary due to high level commercial traffic. We have certified scale at 309 Truckstop. Enforcement has been successful. Truckers have been heard on CB radios to make sure vehicles are in order and alert each other of police presence on 212, Old Bethlehem Pike. Responses have been positive. Bedics has two questions for Chief Huber: 1) Can there be more police presence on Pleasant Valley Rd? She states an overloaded truck cut her off this morning and perhaps an officer could be parked at Vera's Country Café lot or Springfield Elementary School. 2) False alarm responses: How do we handle repetitive false alarms? Do we fine the homeowners? Chief Huber replies that we have an ordinance. Huber states that 99 percent of the alarm calls are false alarms. Brownlow requests a copy of the current ordinance. Halderman makes reference to the Chief's internal memo to the board concerning the vest reimbursement issue.

Township Manager Report

Schilling summarizes his activity since the June 13 Board of Supervisors Meeting:

- June 13th – Met with Ted Evans to inspect a property for open space
- June 21st – Met with Sandy Everitt and representative from Smith Barney on investments
- June 27th – Met with Gartner Building Group, Wynn Associates and Jeff Mease to discuss developers desire to create a private (gated) community (on agenda)
- June 28th – Attended seminar on Municipal Bidding sponsored by LTAP
- June 30th – Conducted first staff meeting with all township departments to discuss topics on safety and solicit input from employees to improve our processes
- June 30th – Met with Sara Pandl, AICP and Wildlands Conservancy to discuss Park and Recreation Plan

Miscellaneous Activity

- Police Contract – Met with Chairman Brownlow and Officer Laudenslager to receive a notice of intent to start the collective bargaining process. Subsequently met with Chief Huber to go over contract and issues.
- Worked with Rose Strong and Linda Bartholomew on the Township Newsletter. First newsletter will be printed and mailed out this month.

- In contact with Denise Sandy/Chairman of the Historic Commission and Jackie Felion-Koons, PA Byway Coordinator in reference to the PA Byways Program. The grant application once submitted will be reviewed within one month of submittal. Will meet with the Historic Commission in September to finalize the application.
- Upon the request of Scott Douglas, EAC Chairman, submitted for and received an extension for Springfield Townships Source Water Protection Grant from the DEP. The extension date for grant completion is September 30, 2006.
- Met with our current cleaning contractor and have asked him to provide a more in depth cleaning quote. Contacted other cleaning contractors and will solicit quotes from them.
- Optimus Risk Services requests a meeting for the annual inspection for our Workers Compensation insurance. Discussed report dated March 31, 2005 with department heads and requested their input by July 12.
- I have attached a copy of an e-mail for the Board's information in reference to the Wastewater Committee meeting dates (2nd Tuesday and 4th Thursday of the month).
- Still reviewing the Durham Township Comprehensive Plan
- Inquiries made to local groups about the Paper Retriever Recycling

Schilling recommended the board can negotiate or designate a representative for police negotiations.

Schilling read a letter from Stephen Doncevic seeking volunteers for aging, which will be added to first township newsletter.

Halderman motions to appoint Schilling as the board's representative in police contract negotiations. Brownlow seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to appoint Schilling as their representative in police contract negotiations.

Public comment on agenda items

None

Planning matters

Rohrer Operation and Maintenance Agreement

Solicitor Clemons summarizes agreement he prepared for the on-lot spray irrigation sewage disposal system for Lot 3 of Tax Map Parcel #14-2-82 located in two townships: Haycock and Springfield. Pump and pretreatment in Haycock; other in Springfield. Agreement requires a maintenance manual, no construction until Board of Health gives

approval, and the contractor properly trained. The agreement also requires financial security. The applicant requests since half the property is located in Haycock and the other half is in Springfield Township to deposit half of the \$2,500 to each township. Haycock would like the entire deposit. Halderman asks what protection does Springfield have to ensure that Haycock is going to enforce their part of the deal. Solicitor states that we only have jurisdiction on components in Springfield, and not in Haycock. We wouldn't have a municipal lien to ensure their correction because the lien would be on the property in their township. Halderman rewords his question; shouldn't we protect ourselves with the \$2,500? Solicitor states that the agreement would have to be restructured. The agreement as it reads states each township takes care of their own components. However, Clemons suggests modification of agreement to require owners to maintain all components and post financial security to ensure that both Haycock and Springfield are covered. We would have a remedy down the road if system was failing. Halderman agrees with modifying the agreement. Separate agreements for each township gives township the right to go onto property and do inspection, require corrective actions be taken and both townships have the ability to require additional money. Owner does not want to pay \$2,500 to each township. Clemons again states that this agreement only applies to Springfield components and does not give us authority. Brownlow interjects that it is one single system, and he asks why spray irrigation? Representative for Rohrer states the system was approved by the health department. Halderman asks the representative where the owner's water table is. Representative answers that he is not familiar with that, however, the property had many tests conducted to have them approved and they are being sent it to the DEP with planning modules. Zisko asks if there are houses on this property, and if this system is replacing existing system. The representative states there was no system for these houses. They were originally attached to the primary house, and never connected to a system. Brownlow would want protection for the system regardless of its location. He suggests splitting the escrow if Haycock is in agreement. Solicitor says Springfield wants authority independent of Haycock to ensure proper operation. Therefore, agreement should be amended to create an obligation between property owner and Springfield to give township the right to enter on property and correct failures. Clemons recommendation is to apply for all of the components and receive the financial security. He states Springfield is not bound to an agreement at present, but he thinks that Haycock was not interested in a joint agreement because they want the authority to enforce the agreement independently. It is also his understanding that Haycock wants the full amount of security deposit, and that they are not likely to agree to a split. Halderman says we currently charge \$2,500 on spray/drip irrigation, so why cut it in half? Owner's representative suggests joint agreement for inspection and maintenance so that both are part of the same agreement. Originally, when the subdivision was approved, the concept was one township would be responsible for the whole system. The other township has the right to participate in the agreement. Solicitor is willing to pursue with Haycock Township a joint agreement where either of the townships have the full right to enforce all components. If Haycock is not interested in a joint agreement, the fallback is a mirror agreement where either township has the right to enforce all components. There will be a question about where the money lies. A legitimate concern is if Haycock perceives a problem not adequately addressed, they want full security to be reimbursed for it. Clemons then suggests two separate

agreements. Board would like an agreement to create obligation for owner to maintain all components and \$2,500 security in a neutral place if either party could use it if they need to do so. Board does not approve present agreement prepared by solicitor. Solicitor will follow through.

Burland Limited Financial Agreement:

Send to developer and when they provide credit letter to the Township to execute. Clemons asks for board's review and comment. They do not have the letter of credit and the agreement has not been executed yet. Therefore, agreement is on hold.

Rick Subdivision

A follow-up letter from Engineer Wynn's office dated June 20, 2006, states engineer inspected the site and notes that all landscape replacement was accomplished and support stakes and guy wires had been removed from all street trees. Engineer recommends that the Township accept completion of the 18-month maintenance period and return financial security to guarantee completion of the 18-month maintenance period to the applicant, conditional upon applicant paying all outstanding invoices and fees incurred by the Township during the course of the maintenance period. Zisko motions to return financial security as outlined in letter. Halderman seconds the motion. Bedics asks if they have paid outstanding fees. Schilling says they will and he will check. Board votes unanimously.

Hickory Lane Subdivision

Wynn's letter dated June 27, 2006 recommends Board accepting property maintenance period and release of escrowed funds. Zisko motions to accept property maintenance agreement and release funds according to Wynn's letter. Bedics seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously.

Evergreen Estates

A letter from Carrie Nase, Attorney for Prime Properties, Inc. dated July 6, 2006, was received by the Township requesting the Township to consider a gated community, keeping the road private. Nase and owner Joe Gartner were present to give background: Project was approved in December 2004; gives brief background, and when plan was approved, internal road was dedicated to township. Nase stated Gartner wants to create a homeowners association and provide a gate at entrance which they would maintain. Joe Gartner gives the benefits of doing so. Gartner states his reasons are high traffic and safety of kids; sense of security; and property value. Gartner presented renderings of entrance to show the board. Guardhouse would be bus stop. Bedics asks how entry would be accessed. Gartner replies with easy pass cards, and that they are looking at systems for police and fire access. Problems were discussed such as emergency personnel access, storm water management etc. Brownlow states that a gated community has its own requirement, and felt it should go back to Planning Commission. Halderman is not in favor of a gated community. Zisko asks how long the roadway is. Gartner states it is 1,600 feet. Zisko states that he prefers a gated community because of maintenance etc., and asks about liquid fuels. Schilling states that a cash contribution for waivers would suffice if it is the board's pleasure. Bedics states that she is leery of homeowners

associations. Gardner replies that it is according to how the documents are prepared. He says he will pursue as it stands, but if changes needed to be made, he wants the board's direction as soon as possible. Brownlow is not comfortable unless going it goes through Planning Commission. Halderman agrees. Brownlow realizes how difficult the project has been, and would be reluctant to introduce a new concept. Halderman asks the owner if he wants to go back and comply with 505.17 a and b. Bob Bell comments if the gated community is approved to consider the logistics to state police coverage during off duty hours of our Police Department and questions how will a key be issued to a state trooper. The Board overall was not in favor of granting waivers for the gated community concept.

Public Hearing: Adoption of an Ordinance to Establish an Open Space Committee

A legal notice as advertised in the June 29 Morning Call to consider an ordinance to establish a committee of seven to nine residents appointed by the board and having the purpose to advise the Board as to matters relating to the protection, conservation and acquisition of Open Space resources. Chairman requests public comment. There is no comment from the public. Bedics motions to adopt new ordinance to create an open space committee. Zisko seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously.

Open Space Resolution

Zisko requests working on open space resolution first because he would be leaving the meeting at 9:30 p.m. He states that there are two versions. One version involves language acquiring land for recreation. Second version does not. Brownlow clarifies the resolution. He states it will be a November ballot question to authorize the township to borrow money to acquire open space or agricultural easement.

Zisko favors the version without the language for the purpose of acquiring recreation. Brownlow says to leave out acquiring land for recreation. Halderman wants the voters to understand the cost and the interest, the debt. Brownlow states the public also needs to know what money is going to be used. Schilling states interest rates change. Based on a bond of 4.4 million the township will pay 7.5 million dollars over 25 years. PSATS offer bond pools for municipalities, one million, ten million or more, based on good credit. 3.1 percent is the average interest rate from 1997. Schilling will investigate some potential options. Zisko wants to get it on the ballot. Zisko says if a bond costs more than a line of credit, get a line of credit. Clemons says give residents how much of that amount could be paid of earned income tax, probably 4.5 million dollars. He says township may borrow it for a specific project; there are many options how the township would incur that. This referendum authorizes to incur electoral debt up to five million dollars. Amiee Douglas says the last wording on the bond referendum was poorly written; she suggests to keep it simple. Zisko reads the referendum. Bedics explains to the public that this does not mean a mill increase in your taxes. We are going to use the current tax to pay for this loan. We want to purchase land now before it increases. This is not a tax increase down the road for open space preservation. Halderman worries about interest over time. Bedics asks if the township could we pursue grant money to alleviate debt. Solicitor replies that the township can pursue DCNR grants to supplement, and the township can always prepay, establish a sinking fund; pay down principle. Zisko motions to adopt resolution #2. Halderman seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously.

Springtown Knolls

Resolution was given by Clemons for the Board's consideration. The DEP had issued a decision rescinding prior approval of the planning modules directing that a preliminary hydrogeological study be done. Hydrogeologic study was provided by Reshetar. Comments were given by our consultant and additional information and further review by SAIC. Clemons asks whether or not the board accepts that information and agrees to approve the planning module supplied by this additional information. Upon discussion with Schilling, it requires a resolution indicating township is satisfied with hydrogeological investigation. In essence, it amends the planning modules submitted. Agreement states to provide easement to two properties behind subdivision to provide water in the event needing it. Cost of connection fees and extending water piping to those residents. The township would reduce the maintenance agreement from \$2,500 to \$1,000 per lot. Reshetar states he did not look to see the differences, and agrees, but questions is there difference. Clemons states he did not know. Reshetar is looking for resolution to accept water study. DEP will accept faxed copy from Schilling to get the process rolling and then finalize their review. DEP said no problem to change maintenance agreement. Clemons asks for the board's pleasure. Operating/maintenance agreement states: \$1,000/lot to ensure proper maintenance; easement across property and developer would agree to deposit and pay water connection fees based on today's rate. Reshetar acknowledges two fees, not waterline. Halderman says give easement, escrow funds; Brownlow states the board would authorize. Halderman says this was approved in September, and the DEP in November wanted us to hold a sewage management agreement. Clemons: Planning modules as opposed to a final plan approval. Establish escrows to assure adequate water supply. Reshetar raises a question on the \$15,000 and if it is never used. Clemons replies that one way it will be held for a period of ten years; developer gets the money back. Reshetar offers non-refundable; pay for one of them; no worry about time limit and running the line assuming not getting the money back rather than an escrow back. Change Clemons' format on maintenance agreement. Wynn has unit cost number. Final plan approval modified; Reshetar is agreeable. The question is raised: what contribution would be to assure protection surrounding the water supply? Clemons says it should be held in escrow because 15 years from now, you're going to forget. It goes into an escrow account if that is the board's intention. Or the board can accept a contribution to support the water system. That means there is a time period. It's a policy decision. Reshetar was asked if he agrees. Reshetar replies if we estimate water lines \$7,000 to install, add the \$15,000, it's \$22,000. He offers \$22,000 for future expansion and reduce the current maintenance agreement. Zisko motions to accept the \$22,000 and reduce maintenance fee to \$1,000 per lot. Reshetar states he would put easements for waterline on drawing. Zisko's motion is made more specific: final plan approval amended to provide easement across property for extension of water service to two properties behind it, \$1,000 deposit per lot; applicant contributes \$22,000 for overall maintenance of water system. Halderman seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to approve.

Bedics motions to adopt 2006-14 resolution revising the Springtown Knoll planning module that was sent to DEP. Zisko seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to adopt.

Water Company

The board needs to decide if the township is going to keep the system. In addition, Ken Simmons has submitted a list of people for consideration to be appointed to the Water Authority in the event that the board does decide to keep the system. Solicitor thinks the Authority has not been effectively terminated. If the terms of the prior Authority members have expired, the board could reinstitute the Authority by terminating prior resolutions, and appoint members to the Authority. Part of the discussion held after the presentation was the issue: what is the jurisdiction of the Authority. Under its current charter it is to provide water/sewer anywhere in the Township. One option is to breathe life back in the old authority; the second option is old authority out of existence and create a new one, which is an expensive and cumbersome process. Then create new auth with limited jurisdiction. Third option would be to continue with existing Authority, but agreement with Authority to assume indebtedness (no documentation) and agree not to put Authority asleep in exchange that initial jurisdiction could be Springtown and what area would be served. That service area would not be enlarged unless the board agrees to a cooperative agreement between Township and Authority. One consideration is to recognize that the water asset needs help, needs upgrading, as an Authority or Township asset with water Department. It must have operational funds to perform tasks outlined at June 13, 2006 meeting. Someone suggested such as the \$22,000 from Reshetar. Board needs to make decisions down the road as to how to fund it. Milford Township subsidized it. How do you fund the needs for upgrading a system over 100 years old? Go forward with mechanism to allow flexibility. Resurrect existing Authority. Zisko agrees. Brownlow asks since the old Authority members probably have lapsed, Township would we have an agreement with whom? Solicitor says to appoint Authority members and have an understanding with them to enter into operation agreement; if they did not want to enter agreement, they would have same problems as before. You cannot enter an agreement with yourself. You need a duly constituted group. Simmons gives presentation to answer questions. New title: Springfield Township Bucks County Authority. It tells us we need to identify project as best as can. Springtown Water System is title we ought to use. Simmons gives list of items. The township has been paying \$60,000 loan from water revenues since its inception. He believes it is connected to Zion Hill. He states that it never appeared on balance sheet. Simmons asked for board's consideration in relieving authority of that cost. He recommends seven people because of their credentials and desire, and asked for board's approval to be released to manage these things in the near future. Brownlow states Simmons reinforced what Clemons was trying to accomplish. Clemons stated if you were starting a new Authority, the board would be able to say jurisdiction is Springtown. Bring that about with agreement to charter. Who amends charter, the Board or the Authority? If charter is amended who would be able to later mend charter if they decided some of these other powers authority now has. Simmons states that can be changed by resolution at any time. Clemons responds with question resolution of whom? Is it the Authority who changes the jurisdiction or board? Halderman replies that the Municipal Authority Act allows the board to create and extend the work. He adds the board should authorize the Authority to work agreement on the language (look at charter, create boundaries) and come back to board. Brownlow says that the point is to recreate the Authority to help with the Springtown water system, and if the board appoints Authority members without structure in place, we will have turned

them loose without limitations. Solicitor states he has obligation to give opinion and wants to ensure it is done properly. Brownlow says we are all in agreement, but there is confusion. Can we say whether it's an amendment to the bylaws, charter or whatever format based on your research that we can have it in place at the August meeting? We can come in and take whatever action we need to get this off the ground to appoint Authority and turn them loose. Halderman concern is Springtown. Clemons will prepare documents for Schilling, ad hoc committee and Township for August 8 meeting. Simmons asks for authority to meet to apply for grants because he has indications that they will be able to receive sizable grants. Brownlow states they are all on same page, just want to ensure right structure before voting on any approval.

Supervisor Zisko left the meeting at 9:45 p.m.

Schilling relayed that all motions going forward would need to be unanimous since there was only three supervisors now present.

DEP Source Grant Water Extension

Scott Douglas submitted final report in January. DEP had it for three months. Comments were not back in time for required changes. Editorial changes; no policy changes. Grant terminated on June 30, 2006. Extension was suggested and, therefore, submitted this month and received. The extension takes us to the end of September. He states we fronted \$8,000, and this gives us the ability to recoup that money. Not requiring changes to anything done. Bedics motions to accept extension on source water grant. Halderman seconds the motion. The board votes unanimously.

Noise Ordinance

The board received copies of noise and nuisance ordinances. Lower Milford's nuisance ordinance allows any person to bring action against any other person in Lower Milford. Nuisance ordinances cover noise, dirt, etc. Schilling states there was no police department at the time ordinance was adopted. Solicitor defines general nuisance as activity which causes annoyance or threats or danger, and requires arbiter to make decision. The enforcement can be initiated by anyone. Mosinskis asks how is trespassing is covered under this ordinance? If someone is on someone else's property and it creates a nuisance? Schilling states landowner can file a criminal complaint. Mosinskis can file nuisance complaint. The ordinance allows anyone inconvenienced to bring action against that person. Identification of a person is difficult sometimes. Bob Bell says that the State Trespass section of the PA Crimes Code has a phrase "or a person in charge," indicating that ownership authority can be delegated to someone else. That should uphold a conviction provided other requirements are met. Another aspect is all terrain vehicles are required to display DCNR plate by law. If offending vehicles do not display it is a violation that our Police Department can enforce. Brownlow asks for downsides. Schilling replies that the nuisance ordinance is strictly a resident action. Brownlow asks if ordinance is so drafted, could township take action as well. Schilling says it is very difficult for the township to get involved. Brownlow asks Clemons of the difficulty to pass a noise ordinance. Clemons: the difficulty is to establish a standard. You have to have a tape recorder or something to prove it. You could establish objective criteria. He

mentions that Chief Huber stated at a previous meeting that Springfield does not have the resources to allocate to enforce a noise ordinance. However, a nuisance ordinance would provide a remedy to someone and could be tweaked. Bell: another aspect not touched is a private complaint. The rule for criminal procedures is that no private citizen can file a traffic citation. Similarly, the rules say the District Attorney can set the rules for private complaints for criminal matters. In Bucks County, the DA has to prior approve. Clemons says this is a civil nuisance ordinance and that the DA does not want civil complaints. He states that an injunction action is another preventive rule. Bell states that Springfield Township did adopt a nuisance ordinance, which McNamara wrote. Steskal states a noise ordinance requires the use of a meter calibrated to make that measure, and factors are involved such as air temperature, density, distance from source to detector. Because sound drops off, exact distance you are measuring and then you have to calibrate instrument. To enforce a noise ordinance, without knowledge, is almost impossible. Mosinskis says New Hope uses decibel meters, and processing these cases. Schilling: New Hope is enclosed and therefore, may be easier to measure. Clemons reiterates the expense and inaccuracy of decibel meters and that it involves resources. Brownlow refocuses back to the nuisance ordinance we had a previous complaint about a gentleman shooting on his property, would this nuisance ordinance be enforceable by his neighbors? Bedics adds: in terms of manpower and equipment for a noise ordinance, would it be easier to look toward a nuisance ordinance? Clemons replies that the remedy can be provided through a nuisance ordinance. Brownlow suggested that the solicitor draft a nuisance ordinance. Bell states again that the township already has one. Mosinskis says that the only remedy we have is civil action against the landowner. Bell says the burden of proof requires 50 percent. Bedics motions to authorize Clemons to draft a nuisance ordinance and research present nuisance ordinance. Brownlow seconds the motion. Vote on the motion: Brownlow and Bedics for and Halderman against. Motion fails. This will be presented again at the next meeting, August 8, 2006.

NEW BUSINESS

Road Department Truck Purchase

Schilling received a letter from Roadmaster Pursell describing the poor condition of the 1996 F-350 due to constant breakdown. Schilling agrees with Pursell that department needs a new truck. He refers to last year's budget allocation for \$25,000 for new truck. Quote from piggyback supplier: \$64,304.11. We looked at spending the budgeted \$25,000 and taking the rest from liquid fuels. In liquid fuels we have available after road projects: \$92,395.37. If we took the remaining balance out of liquid fuels, we would have over \$53,000 available. Bell states that the township purchased a vehicle in the past through a cooperative purchasing and a resolution is needed. Schilling states that is correct and that he has prepared Resolution #2006-013 for approval if the board so desires. Clemons commented Milford Township purchased motor vehicles through the same Harrisburg group. Schilling suggested selling the old truck after fixing the transmission. Halderman asks what other needs Pursell has for the roads department. Schilling replies that the Roadmaster has a list, but that there is a need to have something in place to replace this truck because it is needed for snowplowing. If truck is ordered tomorrow, earliest delivery would be November. Brownlow motions to authorize to purchase of truck. Bedics seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to approve

purchase of truck. Halderman motions to approve resolution to join the PACC. Bedics seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to adopt Resolution #2006-013 and join the PACC.

Investment Resolution

Barbara Smith is still authorized to change investments with Smith Barney; Changeover is needed to authorize Schilling to change investments with Smith Barney if necessary. Brownlow motions to change name on authorization to Schilling; Bedics seconds the motion. Board votes unanimously to approve the authorization change.

Correspondence

Miscellaneous correspondence on Springtown Knoll
Miscellaneous correspondence from Clemons
Miscellaneous correspondence from the township engineer
Clemons will forward the consent decree from DelGuericos. The board did not receive this correspondence.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

SUPERVISORS COMMENTS

Halderman thanked the fire company and all the work they did in Riegelsville during the recent flooding.

ADJOURNMENT

Brownlow moves to adjourn the meeting. Bedics seconds the motion. The board votes unanimously to adjourn the meeting at 10:40 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted

Richard H. Schilling
Township Manager/Secretary