

Board of Supervisors Meeting

(Meeting held at in the Annex of the Zion Evangelical Lutheran Church, 2966 Old Bethlehem Pike, Zion Hill)

MINUTES

February 26, 2008

Jim Brownlow, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 7:35 p.m. and opened with the Pledge of Allegiance.

All Supervisors Present: Karen Bedics, Jim Brownlow, Chuck Halderman, Barb Lindtner and Rob Zisko.

Also present: Terry Clemons, Solicitor; Sandy Everitt, Secretary/Treasurer; Rich Schilling, Township Manager; and Bob Wynn, Township Engineer.

Approval of Minutes & Bills

Jim Brownlow **moved** to accept the February 12, 2008, minutes as corrected. Barbara Lindtner **seconded** the motion. Voting **Yes:** Karen Bedics, Jim Brownlow, Chuck Halderman, Barb Lindtner. **Abstaining:** Rob Zisko. The motion **passed.**

Karen Bedics **moved** to approve the bills listed on the February 13 – 26, 2008, bills list (\$23,175.20). Chuck Halderman **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Public Comments on Agenda Items

Francine Schmitt (Richland Township) – She provided information on how to file a formal complaint to PUC. She commented on the editorial article in The Intelligencer. She offered to research property bought by PPL in Springfield Township. She mentioned that the County Commissioners meet the first and third Wednesdays and that they are working on the PPL situation.

Hans Reimann met the chairman of Upper Saucon Township in the community and he indicated he would be willing to talk with the Springfield Township supervisors about collective action between the townships.

Judy Franlin (Lower Saucon Road) presented and read a letter to the supervisors on behalf of Concerned Democrats of Springfield Township regarding their concern over the path proposed for the new PPL lines through the heart of Springfield Township. She stated they are not against PPL upgrading the lines, nor are they against the lines going through the Township; however, they feel the lines should follow the Route 309 corridor or the SEPTA rails.

Rose Strong (Hunter Road) requested that the Board move discussion on the PPL issue from #5 under New Business to earlier in the meeting so that the press representatives present could meet their time deadlines for publication to get this important issue in tomorrow's papers. Jim Brownlow agreed to move PPL earlier in the agenda.

Planning Matters

1. Springtown Knoll Subdivision – Request for extension to complete improvements – The applicant requested an extension of the maintenance period until 2/08/09 to permit completion of the required improvements. Rob Zisko **moved** to extend the maintenance period until February 8, 2009. Karen Bedics **seconded.** Barbara asked if the developer could do more to improve the appearance of the area. Bob Wynn indicated the applicant had done everything they could prior to construction of all the homes. Jim Brownlow called for the question. The motion **passed** unanimously.

2. Coopersburg Materials Land Development – Request for extension of the Maintenance Period – This applicant received a letter from Bob Wynn on February 21, 2008, about a few minor items that required completion. The applicant has since completed those items, and Bob is now recommending that the Township accept completion of the maintenance period. Rob Zisko **moved** that the Township accept that Coopersburg Materials Land Development has successfully completed the maintenance period. Chuck Halderman **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

3. Spring Hill Subdivision – Maintenance Period not Completed – The maintenance period for this subdivision expires on March 12, 2008. A bond that expires April 12, 2008 ensures completion of required improvements. Bob Wynn inspected the site on February 13 and gave the developer a list of items that need to be accomplished. Bob needs the supervisors to authorize him to advise the developer that the maintenance period has not been satisfactorily completed. Also, he needs authorization to notify INSCO Insurance Services, Inc., that the maintenance bond needs to remain in place until these items have been satisfactorily completed. Chuck Halderman **moved** to authorize Bob Wynn to notify the applicant and INSCO Insurance Services that the maintenance period has not been successfully completed and the bond needs to remain in place until all required improvements have been made. Jim Brownlow **seconded** the motion. Chuck Halderman suggested that Bob also needs to notify the Springtown Water Authority that the Spring Hill Subdivision maintenance period is nearing completion in case there are any issues relating to the public water to that development that need to be resolved within the maintenance period. Barbara Lindtner questioned Bob's comments relating to the sidewalks. She asked who was responsible to repair sidewalks after the maintenance period ends. Terry Clemons said the township should have an Ordinance in place requiring homeowners to be responsible for maintaining sidewalks and curbing on their own properties. Barbara asked Bob or Terry to look into the developer's agreement for this subdivision to see if this was covered. She would like to know if the township can ensure this is covered before the maintenance bond ends and the township becomes responsible for future repairs. Jim called for the question. The motion **passed** unanimously.

New Business (PPL Item Only)

PPL Power Line & Substation Discussion – Jim Brownlow indicated his pride in the number of residents who attended the public meeting with PPL last Tuesday and also for the manner in which they conducted themselves. He feels there were not a lot of substantive facts given to the township by PPL. He thinks that the supervisors gained a good perspective about 1) how the residents feel about the issue, and 2) the fact that PPL had already filed a PUC application even before that meeting, indicating they have a specific plan about the route they plan to take through the township.

Jim did not feel there was adequate response by PPL to the questions raised about the planned switching station on 6 acres of an 85-acre tract purchased by PPL. As a result, the township requested a meeting with Senator Wonderling. Because of the Sunshine Law, no more than two supervisors can attend this meeting without having an advertised meeting date. Today, Rich Schilling and Jim met with Senator Wonderling. Rich indicated Senator Wonderling disclosed his relationship to PPL as relates to them contributing to his campaign. He assured the township that he has many such supporters and he would not be influenced in reviewing this situation. Jim spoke to Senator Wonderling personally, not representing the Board, as the Board has not yet taken an official position. Jim expressed concern about the environmental impact on the streams and stream banks that would occur if PPL used the path they were proposing through the township. Jim is also concerned that the proposed 6-acre substation on an 85-acre tract is just a first step in some bigger plan of which we are not being made aware. Jim questioned why this route was chosen over the other two routes that were considered near Route 309.

Senator Wonderling suggested that there should be a meeting in Harrisburg between a PUC official, two members of the Board of Supervisors, and Rich Schilling to discuss what the township can expect during the PUC process. They discussed another meeting to include PPL, Senator Wonderling, Representative Clymer and two members of the Board of Supervisors. Senator Wonderling would be willing to help Springfield Township, but will require scientific data to back up some of the assertions the township is making about environmental impacts. Senator Wonderling had two of his staff members in the meeting. Jim added that there was questioning at the beginning of meeting about what Springfield Township knew and when they learned it as far as when and if PPL notified the township that they were moving in this direction. He asked if the township had input during that process and if there was there a record of it. Rich reviewed all of the correspondence that was on file in the township. Jim noted that at no time did the Board of Supervisors receive an actual communication from PPL about their plans. PPL did meet with our zoning officer with another township and they did meet with Springfield Township's prior manager. There was a short one-paragraph report issued to the Board by the township's prior zoning officer stating that PPL was looking at putting new transmission lines through the county. Senator Wonderling stated he was an early proponent for using the SEPTA route for the proposed power line. He also mentioned that he only recently learned there was a substation involved in PPL's plans and he asked PPL why they didn't just enlarge the substation they had in Coopersburg. Their response was they did not have enough room in Coopersburg to enlarge the substation. Jim felt there was a genuine interest on Senator Wonderling's part, but he does need to have scientific evidence to

back up the Township's claims about the proposed line location. For example, how scientifically will the power lines as proposed affect the streams? There was discussion about our history with PPL—how sometimes they did not do what they said they were going to do and in other cases, did do what they said they were not going to do. Senator Wonderling offered to contact Representative Clymer, coordinate, and arrange the PUC meeting. Rich and Jim have a meeting scheduled on Thursday with Representative Clymer. They will provide a report to the Board following that meeting. Rich mentioned that Senator Wonderling suggested that if the Board is against the proposed line, they might want to consider adopting a resolution similar to the one adopted by Richland Township.

Rich also spoke to Senator Bob Casey and Congressman Murphy, who would like to meet and discuss this issue with Rich and representatives of the Board. Kathy Frasier, PPL, called Rich and wanted to meet with the Board to try to alleviate some of their concerns.

Jim asked Terry Clemons how many supervisors could attend a meeting without violating the Sunshine Law. If it is two, Jim would like the Board to appoint two representatives to attend any meetings relating to the PPL situation. Finally, Jim feels the Board needs to authorize the township solicitor to make certain we do not miss the deadline for representation before the PUC.

Terry Clemons said if a meeting involves making a decision or deliberations about making a decision, then no more than two can attend that meeting. If the members are on a pure, fact-finding mission, with no talking among the members present trying to decide on a course of action, then all members could go. However, he cautioned the members "because of the temptation to huddle," to only have two members attend any meeting.

Chuck asked Terry to send a letter to the former township solicitor to see if he had any discussions or correspondence with PPL about this issue. There was Board consensus to authorize Terry to send such a letter. Chuck asked if there was a way to link the PPL and PUC website links to our township website. Chuck feels the Board should respond to the editorial in The Intelligencer, clarifying some of the issues. Chuck thinks that the new power lines could run along Route 309 or along the SEPTA route, but he doesn't think PPL supplied the township with enough information about the alternate routes for us to actually compare and make an informed decision.

Rob Zisko thinks PPL could reuse the existing line along Route 309 and acquire any additional easements they need to enlarge it. He agrees that the Board needs to come up with a consensus of where they want to go and then lean upon politicians higher than a senator and representative to get the job done. Although he feels we are starting very late in the process, he is willing to do whatever he can to achieve a change in the currently proposed route.

Barbara Lindtner summarized that whatever is done will have to be done quickly and within whatever time constraints exist. She feels it will not help to try to figure out when things started with PPL or how much property they have in the township. Rather, it is important to adopt an official position and then establish a game plan. This may include gathering information, environmental and scientific data, etc.

Terry suggested there are two parallel paths that the township should be pursuing. One is through elected officials to try to bring political pressure to bear on the process. The other is to file a complaint to the PUC, become a party, after which discovery rules will allow the township to view, analyze and evaluate the data that PPL used in making their decision. The township can have that data evaluated by a township-selected consultant, who could then present his analysis concerning the alternative routes. It is conceivable that during this process, either SEPTA or PennDOT may change their minds about PPL lines following their corridors near Route 309. However, that will require a combination of presenting compelling arguments to people who can bring something to bear upon this process and being in a position to present a legal case to the PUC that PPL has not made the tests that are necessary in order to establish their preferred alternative. The township is undertaking a substantial burden—they will not be playing in their "home court," but rather will be playing an "away game." The PUC is a specialized entity for dealing with utility concerns and obviously will be pitched toward the considerations of the utility. Terry does feel there are several areas of major concern—the site analysis and what went into it (as viewed by a competent township consultant), and balancing environmental impacts between the preferred and the alternate routes, etc.

Rob Zisko asked if Springfield Township will bear this whole burden alone, both financially and in other ways. Karen is concerned because when asked, PPL had no documentation of any negotiations with either SEPTA or PennDOT for using their routes. She felt that throughout the special meeting, it appeared PPL was "skirting the issues." Her biggest concern is what the township can do to slow things down so there is time to do what needs to be done.

Terry Clemons said his office needs to be authorized to file an official complaint with PUC by February 29 indicating the township opposes PPL's application. After that, a PUC lawyer will be selected to work with the PPL application. There will likely be a telephone conference with that attorney to set up a schedule for discovering what documents PPL filed, for reviewing those documents and going over other issues related to the case. During that phone conference, there should be an opportunity to say that the township requires more time to accomplish everything they need to do to prepare for their presentation. However, like any litigation, there will ultimately be a schedule established by which things must be done. Terry has already begun making inquiries about consultants who have experience in testifying before the Public Utility Commission on these issues and who have credibility in these areas so that they are available to assist in evaluating the information to present. To keep the cost down, it may also be possible to have an attorney who specializes in these matters work as a consultant to Terry, offering expertise that Terry could then use.

Jim Brownlow asked if this matter would become "litigation" if the Board authorizes Terry to file this complaint, which would permit the Board to meet with the solicitor to prepare strategy in executive sessions. Terry said that would be true. Jim opened the discussion for public comment.

Debbie Marek, although not personally impacted by the proposed PPL route, feels that the Board needs to fight this so that the hard work that has been done by many with open space, water preservation, etc., is not lost. She is hopeful that other townships will join with us, but does not feel that we can let money keep us from fighting this issue. She feels the line should remain in the business district, where people have moved knowing that utility lines already run there.

Francine Schmitt presented a letter that was written to the PUC in 2007 by Mrs. Todd Hemmert. She also supplied the PUC guidelines to the Board. She cautioned the Board not to use Richland Township's Resolution about the power lines as a guide, as it does not contain adequate information to make it of any value in a hearing. She spoke at length about various issues that were weak in the PPL documentation. She stated this project is in the final phase, probably having begun 10 years ago. She just found out last year, and Springfield is just beginning now. She thinks the best way to approach this with the PUC is to approach it on an environmental basis—flooding, stream and stream bed degradation, etc. She feels that the substation is the whole issue for PPL, not the power lines.

Chuck asked Terry how much right-of-way PPL has from the centerline of the property easements they have purchased. Terry is not familiar with the law about this and could not comment.

Scott Douglas (Chairman of the Environmental Advisory Council, member of the Planning Commission, President of the Cooks Creek Watershed) – Scott thanked the Board for arranging the public meeting with PPL. The EAC did look at some of the documents presented as part of the PPL PUC Application. Although they have not had a chance to review them formally, Scott's initial review shows that there are a lot of holes in PPL's documentation. The EAC stands ready to help the Board in any way possible.

Bruce Whitesell asked whether the township could use any portion of the \$5,000,000 recently obtained to preserve open space if litigation is pursued with PPL, as this would actually be an attempt to preserve open space. Bruce also suggested that he would try to elevate public awareness about what is going on as much as possible.

Hans Reimann agreed with Scott Douglas about EAC involvement. He feels the Board should be proactive on the environmental issues associated with the proposed route. He is particularly concerned about the invasive plants that will follow the proposed PPL route into the township. These plants will impact not only the areas under the lines but also the neighboring properties. He suggested that a scientific team be established to create a policy that would give local communities in the state the ability to be involved in managing the plants used under power lines.

Jeff Lindtner expressed concern about the editorial in The Intelligencer. He was upset when he read the editorial and called the editor to ask if he had attended the public meeting. The editor was not at the meeting, but gained his insights from a report published in his own newspaper. Jeff explained to the editor that the township's concern was not based on NIMBY (Not in My Backyard), but was a question of where the line and the substation should go in the township. The editor agreed to re-examine the issue if provided with some facts, so Jeff also feels we need to respond to the editorial. Jeff agrees it is important for the Board to make a public statement that articulates

their position. It is from that statement that legal action can proceed. Jeff urged the Board to move ahead, but to do so knowing that the cost may be high.

Jim closed the public comment period. He summarized the suggested Board actions:

1. They need to take a position on the PPL application.
2. They need to authorize the township solicitor to file an opposition to the application on their behalf.
3. They need to respond to the editorial in The Intelligencer.
4. The Township needs to contact, at a minimum, Richland and Upper Saucon Townships and perhaps Quakertown Borough to see where they stand regarding this application.
5. They need to authorize the EAC to review the environmental impact of the three routes that PPL considered.
6. They need to assign two representatives to attend the meeting with the PUC that will be arranged by Senator Wonderling and the PPL meeting.

Jim asked the Board what their position was on PPL's proposed route for the power lines and the substation. After discussion, Jim Brownlow **moved** to adopt the following position for the Board:

Springfield Township is not opposed to PPL upgrading the electric service that will go through the township if an upgrade is necessary. However, they are opposed to the proposed routing of the upgraded line and are opposed to a substation being located along the currently proposed route, which is outside of the Planned Industrial District. Either of the other two alternate routes proposed by PPL would be acceptable to Springfield Township.

Rob Zisko **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Karen Bedics **moved** that the Board authorize our solicitor to file the township's opposition to the PPL application with PUC by February 29 on behalf of the Board. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Rob Zisko **moved** to authorize the Township Manager to contact Richland and Upper Saucon Townships, Quakertown Borough, and the County Commissioners to advise them of Springfield Township's position and planned action and to ask if they wish to cooperate with Springfield in this effort. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Chuck Halderman **moved** that the Township Manager also contact Coopersburg Borough and advise them of our position and planned action, as the proposed PPL lines will go directly over where their Borough well is in Springfield Township. Rob Zisko **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

It was the consensus of the Board that they should respond to the editorial in The Intelligencer. Karen Bedics volunteered to write a reply to the editorial. She solicited input from other Board members if they wished. She will share her letter with the Board, and with their approval, will send it to the newspaper. The Board accepted Karen's offer.

Following some discussion, Terry Clemons suggested that the EAC could provide information to the hired consultant, who would evaluate the information and incorporate it as appropriate into the report he prepares. Barbara Lindtner **moved** to task the EAC to work with any hired consultants to address the environmental issues in any filings submitted on the Township's behalf. Karen Bedics **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Rob Zisko **moved** that Jim Brownlow and Barbara Lindtner be appointed as the bipartisan representatives of the Board to attend the meetings to be arranged with PPL and the PUC. Chuck Halderman is to be the alternate if either Jim or Barbara cannot attend. Karen Bedics **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Old Business

SALDO Amendment Discussion – Bob Wynn said that at the Planning Commission Work Session during a discussion about the Stormwater Ordinance, Bob realized that it might be wise for the Planning Commission to also consider a complementary Subdivision Ordinance Amendment that could be adopted at the same time as the overall Stormwater Ordinance. The Subdivision Ordinance contains language relating to pipe sizes, etc., which should be removed from the Subdivision Ordinance and placed into the Stormwater Ordinance, rather than duplicating the information in two different locations. The Subdivision Ordinance would refer to requirements in the Stormwater Ordinance regarding stormwater management. In addition, there are two other aspects of the SALDO that should be considered by the Planning Commission concurrent with the revision of the Stormwater Ordinance. They are the requirement for a

system resource and site analysis plan that would be prepared at the time of some preliminary subdivision plans, and also the requirement for subdivision plans to utilize a conservation management or low impact design.

Karen Bedics **moved** to authorize the Planning Commission to work on the Draft of the SALDO revision simultaneously with the Stormwater Ordinance. Barbara Lindtner **seconded** the motion **passed** unanimously.

New Business

1. BCATO Request – The Township received a request from a Doylestown Township supervisor on February 14 soliciting Springfield to support their effort to return to the use of voter marked paper ballots for election purposes. The township received this request between monthly Board meetings, two days before a response was required. Rob Zisko **moved** to table the request. Jim Brownlow **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

2. Tax Depository Resolution – Irene Elinich is requesting the Board to authorize use of the TeamCapital Bank as a depository for taxes she collects. Jim Brownlow **moved** to adopt Resolution 2008-05 authorizing use of TeamCapital Bank for funds collected by the tax collector. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**. Karen Bedics was not in favor of switching to this bank, and felt that funds should be placed in Quakertown National Bank, a local bank. Jim Brownlow called for the question. Voting **Yes**: Jim Brownlow, Chuck Halderman, Barbara Lindtner, Rob Zisko. Voting **No**: Karen Bedics. The motion **passed**.

3. Road Line Painting – Following receipt of a letter from a resident concerned about vehicles running off township roads, Karen Bedics met with Chief Laudenslager and requested that he pull up a list of unlined roads in the township that had accidents on them. He submitted his results in an email to the Board. The results covered a span of 10 years and the accidents ranged from 1 to 12 over that time period. Karen asked that the Board authorize the Road Department to work out a contract to put lines on the roads that had more than 3 accidents on them.

Jim asked what the Township Manager thought of this request. Rich Schilling contacted Rich Pursell regarding the list and Rich Pursell will review the list and give his assessment as part of his project list for township road work. Bob Wynn shared that PennDOT standards do not permit centerlines on roads that are less than 20' wide, nor should lines be placed on the side edges of such roads. He explained that this can cause motorists to move away from those lines and can actually cause more accidents. Bob also questioned whether the lack of lines caused any of the accidents included in this report. Both Bob and Mark did not feel the number of accidents on any of the listed roads was overly high for a ten-year period. Bob felt that it might be better to review whether adequate signage was in place on all the roads. Karen said the letter she received was about Blue Church Road, where there was a hairpin curve. It was the consensus of the Board that the manager be authorized to coordinate with Bob Wynn, Mark Laudenslager and Rich Pursell a review of signage and either take action or come back to the Board if necessary.

4. Magargal ZHB Case – Terry Clemons referred the Board to a memo prepared by Scott MacNair of his office on this ZHB application. The applicant submitted an application to the ZHB for a variance to construct a residence on Lot 1 of Tax Map Parcel 42-5-55. A 1985 subdivision on this property included a requirement placed on the plan as a note stating: "Lot #1 (42-5-55) to be consolidated with the adjacent parcel, TMP No. 42-5-54-3, owned by Frederick Magargal." This deed consolidation did not occur, but the note on the plan is a legal, binding requirement that prohibits the variance request currently being sought by the applicant. Terry asked if the Board wanted to authorize their office to attend the ZHB meeting on March 3 and present the information on behalf of the township. Chuck Halderman expressed concern about spending township funds to pay for something that should have been taken care of by the applicant as a condition of subdivision. He also questioned how the mandated merger of the two lots could be accomplished. Terry said because Scott MacNair is already scheduled to appear at this ZHB hearing on another matter, he could also present this information on the Magargal case and then the ZHB could take care of this matter as they wish. Terry said there are two issues. The first is whether the ZHB can grant a variance to build a home on Lot 1, and the answer to this is "no," based upon the note on the plan from the 1985 subdivision. The other question is whether the Board wants to file a legal action to ensure that the required merger takes place.

Jim Brownlow **moved** to authorize our solicitor to present the information on the Magargal case to the ZHB. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**. Voting **Yes**: Karen Bedics, Jim Brownlow, Barbara Lindtner and Rob Zisko. **Abstaining**: Chuck Halderman. The motion **passed**.

Jim Brownlow **moved** that Board authorize the solicitor to force the merger of these two parcels. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**. Voting **Yes**: Karen Bedics, Jim Brownlow, Barbara Lindtner. (Rob Zisko left the meeting before this vote.) **Abstaining**: Chuck Halderman. The motion **passed**.

Correspondence

Jim Brownlow noted that the supervisors received copies of the correspondence listed on the agenda.

Public Comments

David Masters (Blue Church Road) – He enjoyed the meeting and appreciated the supervisors moving the meeting to Zion Hill. He recalls when this used to happen one time each year and hopes the Board will meet here again. He expressed concern about traffic safety issues related to Blue Church Road. He asked if anything could be done to deter speeding on the road. He brought this up 20 years ago, and now there are many more homes, including a rifle range, along the road. Bob Wynn will look at Blue Church Road and come back with suggestions for the Board to review.

Ken Stemetz (Blue Church Road) – Mr. Stemetz had complaints about the developer for the home in which he lives on Blue Church Road. He had a number of zoning questions about the lot he purchased. He made a number of accusations against the real estate agent who sold him the home and against the developer. He asked if the funds held in escrow for the developer could be drawn upon to fix the deficiencies in his home. Bob Wynn said the escrow could not be used for this purpose. Rich suggested that Mr. Stemetz contact Dave Taylor about any additional building permits requested for any of the other lots in his development.

Hans Reimann – Mr. Reimann asked Bob Wynn whether the developer is still liable to replace dead street trees on the Yourtee Development. Bob said Terry will review the Developer's Agreement (prepared by the prior township solicitor) to determine this. He thanked the Board for their efforts on the PPL issue and for tasking the EAC to help with the scientific analysis. He shared additional information on the harmful effects of invasive plants.

Supervisors Comments

Chuck Halderman asked Terry to research "If the PUC is allowed under PA law to take 500' on each side of the centerline of easements."

Jim Brownlow reminded the Board that whenever they need to deal with township employees or township consultants, they should work through the manager as opposed to going directly to the township employees or consultants. Not doing so could result in five different supervisors requesting the same information, and it would be better if the manager could oversee the process. This would apply to questions to the solicitor and/or the engineer. Jim feels Rich Schilling has proven that when any supervisor asks a question, he will provide the answer to every supervisor. Chuck stated he feels that each supervisor has a right to do his own investigation. Jim noted that he is bringing this up to ensure the orderly conduct of township business. Jim does not feel he has a personal right to engage the township solicitor to spend money. To do so, he would need to come to the Board as a whole and receive authorization from them to spend the money to have the solicitor research the subject about which he has interest.

Adjournment

At 10:30 p.m., Jim Brownlow **moved** to adjourn the meeting. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandra L. Everitt
Secretary/Treasurer

Next meeting: March 11, 2008

Approved: March 25, 2008