

Board of Supervisors Meeting

MINUTES

March 25, 2008

Jim Brownlow, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 7:35 p.m. and opened with the Pledge of Allegiance. Jim noted that the supervisors held an executive session before the meeting to discuss legal matters.

All Supervisors Present: Karen Bedics, Jim Brownlow, Chuck Halderman, Barbara Lindtner and Rob Zisko.

Also present: Scott MacNair, Co-Solicitor; Sandy Everitt, Secretary/Treasurer; Bob Wynn, Township Engineer; and Rich Schilling, Township Manager.

Approval of Minutes

Jim Brownlow **moved** to accept the minutes of the February 19 special meeting with PPL as presented. Rob Zisko **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Rob Zisko **moved** to accept the minutes of the February 26 meeting as presented. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Rob Zisko **moved** to accept the minutes of the March 11 meeting as presented. Karen Bedics **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Bills for Approval

Karen Bedics **moved** to approve the bills listed on the March 12 – March 25, 2008, bills list (\$33,445.09). Chuck Halderman **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously. Rob Zisko noted that the Clemons, Richter Walsh & Reiss bill was \$10,933.94, which represented \$2,234.39 for general legal services, \$5,699.55 for zoning/planning legal services and \$3,000 for PPL legal services. Chuck expressed appreciation for the new budget categories provided to track PPL expenditures.

Public Comments on Agenda Items

Scott Douglas (Route 212) thanked the Township for providing police, road department and Township Manager assistance for the Cooks Creek Watershed roadway cleanup in 2007. He requested that the township provide the same help for the April 5, 2008, roadside cleanup. There are many adults and young people along township roads during this event and it is much safer and much easier with the additional township support.

Charlie Mease (California Road) feels the Service Electric Franchise Contract should be for a shorter period than 10 years. He expressed concern about past service provided by Service Electric during the previous 15-year contract. Charlie feels new companies may provide service that the township may want to utilize within a 10-year period. Rich Schilling noted that the contract is non-exclusive and the township could contract with any other providers they wanted to utilize during the Service Electric contract period. Rich said that he had contacted several other providers about providing service to Springfield Township, but they are not interested because of the rural nature of the township. Tim Himmelwright, Service Electric, noted that a law passed in 1996 made exclusive franchises illegal in the United States. Tim clarified that the franchise permits Service Electric to work within the township's road right-of-ways. Charlie Mease indicated he has had service problems for twenty years with Service Electric. Tim said Service Electric is aware of the quality problems and has a plan to correct that problem.

Bruce Whitesell (Route 212) experienced a problem after contracting with Service Electric for internet service. He submitted a complaint to Service Electric and the township. The package he purchased included internet and cable TV, but he was told later that internet was not available in his area, although he was permitted to purchase the package. Today, 13 months after he submitted his complaint, he still has had no satisfaction from Service Electric. His problem was with the support technicians and also with the fact that he could not receive definitive answers from anyone. Tim Himmelwright asked Bruce to discuss his problem with him after the meeting.

Sandy Everitt (Richlandtown Pike) said the company told her that two-way internet service was available down Pleasant View Road and at their home's location. They will have internet service installed this week.

Chuck Halderman asked if the marketing department was not aware of what services were actually available, in that advertisements went out to residents about services that were not actually available. Many township residents received a mailing indicating two-way internet service was available. Later, the township learned that a general mailing went to an entire zip code when the service was available in only portions of that zip code.

Jim Brownlow noted that Riegelsville, Tinicum and Nockamixon worked with Springfield Township in finalizing the current Service Electric franchise agreement. Jim said Nockamixon recently rejected the agreement, but Springfield does not have details about their reasons. Jim feels Springfield should not take action on the agreement until they learn what Nockamixon's concerns are, since the contract should be satisfactory to each of the members of the consortium. Riegelsville has accepted the contract as it now stands.

Karen Bedics asked about recent legislative changes and was told by Tim Himmelwright that there have been no laws adopted since 2002 and none are expected in 2008, an election year. Tim said that legislators are concerned about exorbitant fees the big stations are charging cable companies, that are forcing cable companies to raise prices to consumers. Rich noted that the current contract states that if internet is added as a service during the life of the contract, the township could collect fees for that service.

Barbara Lindtner submitted a number of written questions that were partially answered by Service Electric. In some follow-up questions, she asked how many homes in Springfield currently used Service Electric and was told 50% of the township's residents use their service. She asked when Service Electric expected to complete their service upgrade. Tim said they expected to complete the upgrade by the end of 2008. The franchise mandates that they complete the upgrades within two years following when the township signs the contract. When the upgrade is complete, every home in the township will have access to all services (except telephone because of a 911 problem). If a home is more than 200' off the main road, the homeowner will need to pay so much a foot for every foot wire needs to be run over 200'. Tim said if lines are currently underground and/or overhead, the new lines will replace those currently in place. Once they install the new system, the company has one year to tear down the old cables. Barbara asked if special rates could be offered to senior citizens, but was told that no such package currently exists. Although the contract says they will only service homes where there are 35 homes per mile, Tim said that if the Township contacts him about a road less densely populated where the residents want service, they can still provide the service.

When asked to justify recent service cost increases, Tim explained that although ESPN recently raised their rate to Service Electric by 45% and Fox News raised their rate by 42%; these full increases were not passed on to Service Electric subscribers. The high-demand channels will not permit Service Electric to let their subscribers choose only the channels they wish to receive. Tim recently did a study to show Service Electric that township residents request more advanced services than were utilized by residents in some of the more densely populated areas. This helps the company negotiate better bank rates for loans needed to install service lines in the townships.

Jim Brownlow is concerned about Service Electric's history of non-response to customer complaints, the single, biggest complaint which the township has consistently heard. Tim offered his personal number to anyone if they experience lack of response. Jim indicated that this agreement is with Service Electric, not Tim Himmelwright. Tim indicated that Section 24, Arbitration, would be the mechanism by which disputes are resolved. Scott MacNair pointed out that several other areas of the agreement imply that disputes would be settled in court. The contract needs to be reviewed to make certain that arbitration is the first method listed throughout the document for resolving disputes.

Ken Simmons referred to Ordinance 132, put in place by the township to give guidance when residents had service complaints about Service Electric. He asked if that Ordinance would be enforceable under the new agreement. Rich asked our solicitor to compare the ordinance with the new agreement to answer that question. Ken received 36 complaints from residents since that Ordinance was adopted and he is not aware of any of the 36 that were successfully resolved. Tim indicated that many of these complaints were probably related to poor picture quality, which was the issue which made Service Electric decide to upgrade the entire system that services our township and other local townships. Upon completion of the upgrade, he feels most of the problems will be resolved.

Willard Weierbach asked how much it cost to run a mile of fiber optic wire; Tim indicated the cost is \$100,000 per mile. Willard had other technical questions about wiring, amplifiers, etc.

Jim Brownlow closed discussion. Further action will be taken on the franchise agreement under Old Business.

Planning Matters

Reed Lane Subdivision – In Bob Harrington’s letter of March 12, he requested an extension until June 30, 2008, to complete required paving improvements on the Reed Lane Subdivision. Rob Zisko moved to grant an extension until June 30, 2008, to complete paving and remaining improvements to Reed Lane Subdivision. Chuck Halderman **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Rennie Minor Subdivision (Winding Road) – The Planning Commission unanimously recommended this two-lot subdivision for final approval, along with the waivers requested by the applicant. Rob Zisko **moved** to grant final approval for the Rennie Subdivision and grant all waivers requested, with the waiver for street improvements subject to receipt of an \$8,000 fee in-lieu-of street improvements, conditional upon completion of all outstanding items contained within the September 19, 2007, engineering review letter. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously. It was noted that the lots could not be further subdivided.

Melchiore Lot Line Adjustment – The Planning Commission unanimously recommended final approval of this lot line adjustment. Barbara Lindtner **moved** to grant final approval of the Melchiore lot line adjustment and requested waivers conditional upon completion of items listed in the December 21, 2007, engineering review letter. Karen Bedics **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Joseph Subdivision – The township received a letter dated March 24 from Mease Engineering requesting that this plan be removed from the agenda. The applicant will be making revisions and submitting a revised plan on April 9, 2008. Because action is required on this plan by April 30, the applicant will provide an extension.

Rufe Subdivision (Richlandtown Pike) - The Planning Commission recommended preliminary and final approval for this three-lot subdivision. Bob Wynn noted there would be no further subdivision except for a lot line adjustment between lots created by this subdivision. Rob Zisko **moved** that preliminary/final approval be granted for Rufe Subdivision and the waivers requested be granted noting that the street improvements were waived subject to the applicant contributing a fee in-lieu-of street improvements in the amount of \$8,000. Approval is conditional upon completion of the outstanding items listed in the February 19, 2008, engineering review. Karen Bedics **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Old Business

1. Cable Franchise Agreement – Scott MacNair indicated it was best to obtain consistency between the four entities who had participated in the consortium. Although Riegelsville has already signed on for the existing contract, Springfield will not take action until they learn what Nockamixon’s concerns are with the present contract. Rob Zisko **moved** to table further action on this agreement until the April 8 Board meeting. (Tim Himmelwright will not be able to attend the April 8 Board meeting, as he will be out of town.) Jim Brownlow **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

2. Procedures for Additions to the Agricultural Security District – Scott MacNair compared the Procedure in the Memo he wrote on March 1, 2007, with a procedure Rich Schilling found that was written some years ago. Scott said the two procedures are virtually identical, they are just written in a different format. Both procedures comply with Pennsylvania’s Agricultural Security Law. Chuck Halderman is concerned that the correct procedure regarding Act 43 was not followed with some of the properties put into Ag Security before Clemons, Richter, Walsh & Reiss took over as township solicitor. Specifically, he is concerned that a number of properties in Ag Security have not been recorded in the Court House. Karen noted she had gone through the files in the township and there was one property that was submitted to the Board for inclusion into Ag Security, but only one of the two tracts included in that property received action by the Board. Chuck Halderman is concerned about the three-lot Joseph Subdivision currently being considered for inclusion in Ag Security. Barbara Lindtner corrected Chuck’s understanding of the Joseph Subdivision—it is now only a two-lot subdivision. Rob Zisko stated that was acceptable under the Ag Security Program.

Doris Hunsinger stated that there is a county-wide as well as a Springfield Township problem with the protocol being followed for Ag Security submissions.

Rob Zisko pointed out that prior to Rich Schilling being Township Manager, other than reviewing the applications for appropriateness; all other administrative functions were handled by the prior Township Manager. Rob was not aware of what was involved in that procedure. Scott also noted that the Court House initially refused to record the Ag Security Resolution he took to the Court House. He had to take a copy of the law down to the Court House to prove that the Resolutions had to be recorded. Chuck is also concerned that if all the Ag Security properties are not recorded, PPL may say they are not going through any conserved properties, but how can they know that is true if all the Ag Security properties have not been recorded? Karen Bedics pointed out that Act 43 also requires that a review of properties in Ag Security be conducted every seven years after they are placed in the program. Scott indicated that it is not required under Act 43 that the deed be changed to reflect that a property is in Ag Security; it is only required that the resolution placing a property in Ag Security be recorded. Chuck stated that he is mainly concerned that there be parity in the ranking process and that there be a level playing ground for all the applicants for the Ag Security program.

Jim Brownlow summarized he feels there are two issues of concern. First, does the township have a list of every property in the Ag Security District and was appropriate action taken by the township to place them in Ag Security? Second, were each of these properties properly recorded in the Court House? Karen clarified with Scott that a Resolution is required on the township level for each property going into Ag Security and that this Resolution is what is recorded at the Court House. Her next question was whether the Board could pass retroactive Resolutions to cover properties that were not properly handled at the township level and thus, not ever recorded at the county level. Or, would these applicants need to re-apply? Scott said the township will need to review the paper trail for all Ag Security properties—was an application received, was a vote taken to include them at the township level, was their application approved? If the Dept. of Agriculture was informed of these applications, it may be possible to get a list of township properties from them.

After a lengthy discussion, Scott MacNair was asked to check whether all of Springfield's Ag Security properties' Resolutions were recorded at the Court House and to follow up as necessary with other state agencies. Rich will do the internal follow up after Scott contacts county and state agencies for information. Scott will also determine if the township can pass retroactive resolutions for any properties in Ag Security which has not been properly recorded at the Court House.

There was discussion about the seven-year review required for properties included in the Ag Security district. After he reviews this issue, Scott will prepare a memo for the Board. Rob Zisko read from the regulations about the seven-year review process. It was noted that most municipalities were probably not following this procedure.

3. Farm Market Grant – Rich Schilling received a letter from the Department of Agriculture granting the township \$2,000 to support the Farmers' Market project. Rich requested \$11,000, but because there is only \$75,000 statewide, our support request was cut. The Farmers Market cannot use the Fire Department parking lot because the parking lot falls within the 125' stream margin of Cooks Creek and this activity is not permitted under the new ordinance. Rich has tried to contact the farmers who attended the meeting and requested help in starting this project. Rich said this market would have to begin by May 31 and the grant rewrite for the new \$2,000 amount must be in to the State by April 8. Barbara Lindtner stated that "we have a cart with no pony!" The funds have been approved by the State for a project and the farmers interested in the project appear to have no interest in pursuing it. Jim Brownlow said the Board must decide whether or not to accept the grant. Jim is concerned about the Township Manager's use of the word "I." Rich should not have to find a place for the farmer's market, or do anything else related to running it, other than obtain the grant funds, which he has done. Jim Brownlow **moved** that approval be given to pursue the \$2,000 grant conditional upon the farmers' responding prior to the April 8 deadline for the grant submission that they will do the work involved with organizing and running the market. Karen Bedics asked if we should advertise for a steering committee to run this. Rich said he would prefer that those who initially expressed interest run this. Further, there is no time to follow the advertising route. Chuck Halderman **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

4. Forestry Ordinance – Bob Wynn explained "basal area" and "even-age and uneven-age" management, and several other terms contained in the proposed revision to the Zoning Ordinance. Bucks County Planning Commission is currently reviewing the draft ordinance. Scott MacNair will advertise for a public hearing at the April 29 Board meeting. Rob Zisko feels the permitted sign size of 12 feet (Article IX, Section 905) is still too small. He feels it should be increased to 15 or 20 feet. Bob Wynn asked that this change be included with the next amendment, as this draft has already gone to the BCPC for review. Scott MacNair will add this to his list of future revisions.

New Business

1. Authorize Township to Participate in April 5 Cooks Creek Roadway Cleanup – Barbara Lindtner **moved** to authorize township personnel to participate as needed in the Cooks Creek Watershed Roadway Cleanup. Rob Zisko **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

2. Resignation of Assistant Zoning Officer – Barbara Lindtner **moved** to accept Walt Dearden's resignation letter dated March 20, 2008, and to thank him for the fourteen years service on the Zoning Hearing Board and for filling in as zoning officer when our prior zoning officer retired. Karen Bedics **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously. Rich Schilling noted that the Board could advertise for another applicant or he offered to assume this part-time position in addition to his managerial duties. Rich was zoning officer at his prior employment, so is experienced. Rich is seeking another part-time position and would be willing to assume this part-time position which would preclude him from seeking part-time work at another municipality. Rich would be available weekends or evenings to meet with residents who are not available during the day. Jim Brownlow **moved** to appoint Rich Schilling as assistant zoning officer to work as needed for an additional salary of \$18,000 per year. The arrangement will be reviewed in three months time. Karen Bedics **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

3. Planning Commission Report of Activities for 2007 - Bobb Carson submitted the annual, required report of the Planning Commission. The Board received the report and thanked Bobb Carson for the excellent job he did in preparing it.

4. Appointment of Electrical Engineer for PPL PUC Filing – Scott MacNair said that Scott Rubin recommended Peter J. Lanzalotta of Lanzalotta & Associates LLC, Hilton Head Island, South Carolina, as an electrical engineer for the PPL PUC situation. Scott indicated that PPL must establish that this is a necessary transmission line and someone of this man's caliber must validate that this line is necessary. He will also be able to validate all of the costs presented by PPL as being associated with using either of the two alternate routes through the township. Karen Bedics **moved** to authorize our solicitor to contact Peter Lanzalotta, electrical engineer, to represent the township at the PPL PUC hearing at the rate of \$160 per hour, or \$145 per hour for his associate. Chuck Halderman **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

5. CPA Annual Audit and DCED Filing – Rob Zisko **moved** to accept the CPA's financial audit of the township's 2007 records. Barbara Lindtner **seconded**. Voting **Yes**: Karen Bedics, Jim Brownlow, Barbara Lindtner, Rob Zisko. (Chuck Halderman unavailable for this vote.) Rich indicated that the concise report will be published in The Morning Call and Hutchinson, Gillihan & Freeh will submit the formal report to DCED.

6. April 22 Board Meeting – Conflict with General Election Primary – Rich Schilling stated that the Township Building would be unavailable on April 22 for the regularly scheduled Board meeting, as the Township Building is a polling site. Jim Brownlow **moved** to reschedule and advertise the meeting for Tuesday, April 29. Bob Wynn is available for this date. Rob Zisko **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

7. Award of Asphalt Bid – The bid was advertised in The Intelligencer. One bid was received from Coopersburg Materials, Division of Naceville Materials, Coopersburg, PA. At the recommendation of Bob Wynn, township engineer, Barbara Lindtner **moved** to award the bid to Coopersburg Materials based upon the bid specifications of:

- 500 tons of Superpave 9.5mm wearing course material at a total price of \$26,250
- 100 tons of Superpage 19mm wearing course material at a total price of \$4,650, and
- 75 tons of High Performance Cold Patch at a total price of \$7,125

Rob Zisko **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

8. Bucks County Request for Spraying for West Nile Virus – Rich Schilling received a request from Bucks County Department of Health for a letter from the township granting permission to treat the township's municipal properties for mosquito control to help reduce the spread of the West Nile Virus. They also requested a list of any new areas that are of concern for mosquito breeding in Springfield Township. Barbara Lindtner is concerned about the tires stored on Richlandtown Pike at European Auto. Rob Zisko **moved** that we authorize Bucks County Department of Health to spray township properties for West Nile Virus. Jim Brownlow **seconded**. Karen asked that the record reflect that she does not support any kind of pesticide or herbicide spraying in the watershed. Voting **Yes**: Jim Brownlow, Chuck Halderman, Barbara Lindtner, Rob Zisko. Voting **No**: Karen Bedics. Rich Schilling will compile any other areas of concern and provide that list to the BCDH.

Chuck Halderman asked the status of the European Auto Salvage wetland situation after the meeting Bob Wynn and Dave Taylor had with them. Bob said their engineer planned to check into what the wetlands were on the European Auto Salvage property and determine if any of the fill had encroached upon that wetland area. In order for them to get an NPDES Permit from the state, Bob (municipal engineer) must certify that they are in compliance with the township's Stormwater Management Ordinance. Another meeting is scheduled at the European Auto Salvage site on Tuesday, April 1, with Bob, Dave Taylor and European Auto Salvage representatives.

Correspondence

Jim Brownlow noted that the supervisors received copies of the correspondence listed on the agenda.

Public Comment

Bruce Whitesell said that he and the Weierbach's appear to be "the only township residents without cable TV!" For this reason, Bruce looks forward to the 2nd and the 4th Tuesdays of each month. Tonight, he appreciated Mr. Wynn's PBS-type presentation about forestry and the WWF "huffing & puffing" with no actual physical contact! He thanked the Board for the entertaining evening!

Supervisors Comments

Barbara Lindtner thanked Bob Wynn for the excellent report he did on Blue Church Road. Rich advised that the signage Bob recommended was already being purchased and put in place. Dave Masters was notified by Karen Bedics of the action being taken on Blue Church Road. Barbara asked for an update on the last letter listed under correspondence from Larry Dimmig. Rich Schilling will provide Board Members with a copy of Dave Taylor's response to that letter.

Chuck Halderman asked for clarification on regulations for having horses in the Resource Protection area. Specifically, he wanted to know if you could tie ropes to trees to make a confinement area for horses. Bob Wynn advised Chuck to discuss this with the zoning officer.

Karen Bedics updated the Board that she was unable to get the names of the Cybersonic Team, as the team was in Florida. She will obtain them as soon as possible and email them to the township so that the certificates can be issued and mailed to them.

She updated the Board about the Quakertown Borough meeting that she attended last night (March 24). Quakertown Council told her that if the Springfield Township Board of Supervisors would put together a Resolution asking for their support of our endeavors with PPL, they would vote on it at their next meeting.

Jim Brownlow said that what he read in the paper concerned him because it made it sound like Karen was at the Quakertown meeting representing the Township. Karen said that David Zaiser (Quakertown Councilman) had contacted her about going to the Council to get support from them in our endeavor against PPL. Mr. Zaiser planned to bring the matter up for discussion. Karen decided she should be there to put a face on the issue. She was not prepared to speak, but wanted to hear what was said. However, they acknowledged her presence and asked her to speak. She watched what she said, as the press was there, but she said that Springfield was attempting to get inter-municipal support for the PPL situation. Jim Brownlow said he sometimes falls down in that area, but he tries in such situations to let them know that he is one of five supervisors and that he cannot speak for all the supervisors, he is just expressing his personal opinion. Karen felt in her mind that since The Board asked Rich to send letters to local municipalities, she was backing up what had already been done. Jim cautioned that each supervisor must be careful that action is not taken because of something they said which may not have had the endorsement of the other four supervisors.

Barbara Lindtner addressed the issue from a process and content perspective. She feels that the Board tasked Rich Schilling to deal with inter-municipal relationships. Even though Barbara was appointed to attend several meetings relating to this issue, she would not have attended that meeting. She was not invited to attend, but had she been, she would have referred them to Rich Schilling who was appointed to communicate with other municipalities. She also felt uncomfortable that Quakertown had a contractual relationship with PPL. Rich said that he talked with David Zaiser on Monday and asked if Mr. Zaiser felt he (Rich) should attend the Quakertown Borough meeting. Mr.

Zaiser told him that he did not feel it would be necessary. He asked Rich what Quakertown could do for Springfield and Rich told him that while Resolutions are good to show public unity, in Springfield's situation "Cash is King" and financial help would be greatly appreciated. Mr. Zaiser informed Rich that he did not represent a majority on the Council. He said he would push for financial assistance, but they did not seem interested. Rich was disappointed by Quakertown's offer to do a Resolution only if Springfield prepared the Resolution for them. After telling them that we are in need of financial support, he was surprised that they would request that we prepare a resolution (which would cost Springfield money to prepare) for them to "vote upon" as to whether they would support it. Barbara felt that the publicity generated by the Quakertown Borough meeting sounds like Springfield is reaching out to others because the township does not have enough to make it on our own. The truth is, Springfield reached out to others as a courtesy to let them know that they are filing an objection. If other municipalities wish, they can join in filing an objection. However, Springfield is not waiting for anyone to join—Springfield is filing an objection regardless of what others do.

Richland Township offered to give us their solicitor for legal assistance support, but Rich told them we had our own solicitor. Scott MacNair spoke with someone from Richland Township's solicitor's office, who told Scott that Richland Township has given permission to file an application to intervene on behalf of Richland Township, so that they have a seat at the PUC table.

Bruce Whitesell commented that in the township support group he is spearheading, they originally talked about going outside of the township to inform residents of other municipalities who might be impacted by the PPL transmission line. They have since decided to stay within the township, since it is a township issue. They felt that once you move outside of the township, it is difficult to keep control of the initiative. Jim added that the downside to taking financial aid from another municipality is that Springfield would be obligated to take their advice on the issue as well. Scott MacNair does not know how much value a resolution of support from another township would be if they have not filed a formal complaint. He does not feel it would be accepted by the PUC as evidence unless that township had a seat at the table. Jim noted that the support resolutions were being sought in order to try to come to an agreement with PPL before the issue ever got to "court."

It was the consensus of the Board that Rich Schilling should write a letter to the Quakertown Council stating that Springfield would not presume to write a resolution for their Council. Springfield has asked for their support and it is really up to them as to whether they are going to support our position or not. Rich suggested we might include Richland Township's Resolution in case they need something to go by.

Karen Bedics apologized for overstepping her bounds, but she thought this was appropriate at the time she made the decision to attend the Council meeting. Chuck stated that every Board member has a right to take an action they feel is right as long as they do not do so as representing the entire Board. Jim agreed with Chuck that a supervisor could attend meetings of their choice as long as they did not do so stating they were representing the Board. Although Karen did not state she was representing the Board, the newspaper article made it appear that she was and that she was there for financial assistance. Jim encouraged the supervisors to stay together on this issue.

Adjournment

At 11:05 p.m., Rob Zisko **moved** to adjourn the meeting. Jim Brownlow **seconded**; the motion **passed** unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandra L. Everitt
Secretary/Treasurer

Next meeting: April 8, 2008
Approved: April 8, 2008